



# SARS-CoV-2 Research Summary

## Omicron BA.2 Lineage: What do we know?

Prepared by:  
Anna Maria Niewiadomska  
& Richard Scheuermann

	BA.1	BA.2	BA.3	
ORF1a	nsp1	S135R	S135R	
	nsp3	K38R	T24I	
		S1265del L1266I A1892T	G489S	G489S
			L264F T327I L438F	T327I
	nsp4	T492I	T492I	T492I
	nsp5	P132H	P132H	P132H
nsp6	L105del S106del G107del  I189V	S106del G107del F108del	S106del G107del F108del  A88V	
	ORF1b	nsp12	P323L	P323L
nsp13			R392C	
nsp14		I42V	I42V	I42V
nsp15			T112I	
ORF3a		T223I	T223I	
E	T9I	T9I	T9I	
M	D3G Q19E A63T	Q19E A63T	Q19E A63T	
	N	P13L	P13L	P13L
E31del		E31del	E31del	
R32del		R32del	R32del	
S33del		S33del	S33del	
R203K		R203K	R203K	
G204R		G204R	G204R	
	S413R	S413R		

**Figure 2:** A list of shared (bold) & unique (red) mutations in non-spike regions of Omicron sub-lineages.

### Immune Escape (Convalescent sera & Vaccines)

[New data](#) indicates that despite significant differences between BA.1 and BA.2 in the spike receptor binding domain, lineages may behave similarly with respect to vaccine induced neutralizing antibodies (NAb). A study looking at the ability of sera from individuals who received 2 does of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine, found that neutralizing antibody titers from to BA.2 were similar to those from BA.1(3). A third boost further increased NAb levels. This study also showed that vaccinated individuals infected with BA.1 developed robust NAb titers to BA.2, indicating a significant level of cross-reactive immune protection. A study by Yamasoba *et al.* confirmed BA.2's resistance to neutralizing sera elicited either by mRNA-1273 and ChAdOx1 vaccines or by previous infection (6). There is also evidence that at least some cross-protection against BA.2 is provided by previous infection with BA.1:

- Hamsters previously infected with BA.1 appeared to have cross protection against infection with the BA.2 variant, but not against Delta (11).
- Data from Stegger *et al.* in Denmark showed that while BA.2 reinfections can occur shortly after a BA.1 infection, they are generally rare and resulted in mild disease (12).
- A manuscript by Chemaitelly *et al.* also reported that previous BA.1 infection provided some but not complete protection against reinfection with BA.2 (14). More detailed data on the distinct differences between antigenic escape of the BA.1 versus the BA.2 variants was recently published in a paper by Mykytyn *et al.*, describing the use of antigenic cartography to quantify and visualize antigenic differences using hamster sera obtained after primary infection (13).

### Immune Escape (Therapeutic Antibodies)

A [recent study](#) has shown that BA.2 has significant ability to escape neutralization by 17 out of 19 monoclonal antibodies tested, including S309 (sotrovimab), one of the only effective and FDA approved mAb (4). Another study confirmed BA.2's resistance to therapeutic mAbs including sotrovimab (6). Another study using a spike protein-pseudotyped lentivirus assay, demonstrated that BA.2 was not neutralized by any of the therapeutic monoclonal antibodies, including Sotrovimab and Evusheld mAbs (9).

However a [press release](#) from the FDA on Feb 11, 2022 authorized a new monoclonal antibody, bebtelovimab, that retains activity against BA.2.

### Pathogenicity data

Preliminary data showed that BA.2 was both more replicative and more fusogenic (in a TMPRSS2-dependent fashion), in human nasal epithelial cells than BA.1(6). *In vivo* data in hamsters also indicated that BA.2 may be more pathogenic than BA.1, with higher viral loads (~9-fold) found in the lungs for BA.2 than for BA.1 (6). Immunohistochemical assays showed that BA.2 was also found more frequently, in more distal areas of the lower respiratory tract, and caused more inflammation than BA.1, suggesting more efficient within host transmission. More recent data reported by Imperial College UK noted that hamsters infected with BA.2 showed mild disease, similar to those infected with BA.1 (11). Human clinical data from S. Africa comparing disease severity of BA.2 to BA.1, found that severity of illness was comparable (10). Data from the UKHSA confirms that the risk of hospitalisation was not higher following a BA.2 infection than for BA.1 (11).

# SARS-CoV-2 Research Summary

## Omicron BA.2 Lineage: What do we know?

Prepared by:  
Anna Maria Niewiadomska  
& Richard Scheuermann

### Diagnostic Tests & Amplicon Sequencing

- BA.2 does not have the S:69/70 deletion that causes S-gene target failure (SGTF).
- BA.2 can likely still be detected by most rapid antigen tests (7).
- There are several significant BA.2 amplicon dropout regions that differ from those identified in BA.1. A summary can be found [here](#).

### Protein structures

Kumar *et al.* have performed a comparative sequence and structural-based computational assessment (5), comparing the following Omicron lineages: BA.1, BA.1.1, BA.2, and BA.3. The authors discuss the predicted effects of multiple shared and unique mutations on spike physio-chemistry, receptor binding, and pathogenicity.

- 1) Lyngse, F. P., Kirkeby, C. T., Denwood, M., Christiansen, L. E., Mølbak, K., Møller, C. H., Skov, R. L., Krause, T. G., Rasmussen, M., Sieber, R. N., Johannesen, T. B., Lillebaek, T., Fonager, J., Fomsgaard, A., Møller, F. T., Stegger, M., Overvad, M., Spiess, K., & Mortensen, L. H. (2022). [Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron VOC subvariants BA.1 and BA.2: Evidence from Danish Households](#). *MedRxiv*, 2022.01.28.22270044.
- 2) UKHSA. (n.d.). [SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England](#).
- 3) Yu, J., Collier, A. Y., Rowe, M., Mardas, F., Ventura, J. D., Wan, H., Miller, J., Powers, O., Chung, B., Siamatu, M., Hachmann, N. P., Surve, N., Nampanya, F., Chandrashekar, A., & Barouch, D. H. (2022). [Comparable Neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 Variants](#). *MedRxiv*, 2022.02.06.22270533.
- 4) Iketani, S., Liu, L., Guo, Y., Liu, L., Huang, Y., Wang, M., Luo, Y., Yu, J., Yin, M. T., Sobieszczyk, M. E., Huang, Y., Wang, H. H., Sheng, Z., & Ho, D. D. (2022). [Antibody Evasion Properties of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Sublineages](#). *BioRxiv*, 2022.02.07.479306.
- 5) Kumar, S., Karuppanan, K., & Subramaniam, G. (2022). [Omicron \(BA.1\) and Sub-Variants \(BA.1, BA.2 and BA.3\) of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Infectivity and Pathogenicity: A Comparative Sequence and Structural-based Computational Assessment](#). *BioRxiv*, 2022.02.11.480029.
- 6) Yamasoba, D., Kimura, I., Nasser, H., Morioka, Y., Nao, N., Ito, J., Uriu, K., Tsuda, M., Zahradnik, J., Shirakawa, K., Suzuki, R., Kishimoto, M., Kosugi, Y., Kobiyama, K., Hara, T., Toyoda, M., Tanaka, Y. L., Butlertanaka, E. P., Shimizu, R., ... Sato, K. (2022). [Virological characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 BA.2 variant](#). *BioRxiv*, 31(5), 2022.02.14.480335.
- 7) [SARS-CoV-2 Viral Mutations: Impact on COVID-19 Tests](#)
- 8) Obermeyer, F. H., Jankowiak, M., Barkas, N., Schaffner, S. F., Pyle, J. D., Yurkovetskiy, L., Bosso, M., Park, D. J., Babadi, M., MacInnis, B. L., Luban, J., Sabeti, P. C., & Lemieux, J. E. (2022). [Analysis of 6.4 million SARS-CoV-2 genomes identifies mutations associated with fitness](#). *MedRxiv*, 2021.09.07.21263228.
- 9) Zhou, H., Tada, T., Dcosta, B. M., & Landau, N. R. (2022). [SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 Variant Evades Neutralization by Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies](#). *BioRxiv*, 2022.02.15.480166. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.15.480166>
- 10) Wolter, N., Jassat, W., group, D.-G. author, Gottberg, A. von, & Cohen, C. (2022). [Clinical severity of Omicron sub-lineage BA.2 compared to BA.1 in South Africa](#). *MedRxiv*, 2022.02.17.22271030.
- 11) [SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England: Technical briefing 37](#)
- 12) Stegger, M., Edslev, S. M., Sieber, R. N., Ingham, A. C., Ng, K. L., Tang, M.-H. E., Alexandersen, S., Fonager, J., Legarth, R., Utko, M., Wilkowski, B., Gunalan, V., Bennedbæk, M., Byberg-Grauholm, J., Møller, C. H., Christiansen, L. E., Svarrer, C. W., Ellegaard, K., Baig, S., Rasmussen, M. (2022). [Occurrence and significance of Omicron BA.1 infection followed by BA.2 reinfection](#). *MedRxiv*, 2022.02.19.22271112.
- 13) Mykytyn, A. Z., Rissmann, M., Kok, A., Rosu, M. E., Schipper, D., Breugem, T. I., Doel, P. B. van den, Chandler, F., Bestebroer, T., Wit, M. de, Royen, M. E. van, Molenkamp, R., Munnink, B. B. O., Vries, R. D. de, GeurtsvanKessel, C., Smith, D. J., Koopmans, M. P. G., Rockx, B., Lamers, M. M., Haagmans, B. L. (2022). [Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 are antigenically distinct SARS-CoV-2 variants](#). *BioRxiv*, 2022.02.23.481644.
- 14) Chemaitelly, H., Ayoub, H. H., Coyle, P., Tang, P., Yassine, H. M., Al-Khatib, H. A., Smatti, M. K., Hasan, M. R., Al-Kanaani, Z., Al-Kuwari, E., Jeremijenko, A., Kaleeckal, A. H., Latif, A. N., Shaik, R. M., Abdul-Rahim, H. F., Nasrallah, G. K., Al-Kuwari, M. G., Butt, A. A., Al-Romaihi, H. E., Abu-Raddad, L. J. (2022). [Protection of Omicron sub-lineage infection against reinfection with another Omicron sub-lineage](#). *MedRxiv*, 2022.02.24.22271440.

